



1
2
3
4 I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS DOCUMENT WAS SERVED BY
5 FIRST CLASS MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID, TO ~~ALL COUNSEL~~
6 (OR PARTIES) AT THEIR RESPECTIVE MOST RECENT ADDRESS OF
7 RECORD IN THIS ACTION ON THIS DATE.

8 DATED: 5-3-12

9 DEPUTY CLERK 

10 '0'

| |
|--|
| FILED CLERK, U.S.D.C. SOUTHERN DIVISION |
| MAY - 3 2012 |
| CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY  |

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA


13 JAMES D. MACIEL, SR., } Case No. CV 11-7199-AG (RNB)
14 Petitioner, }
15 vs. } ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS
16 ROBERT AMBROSELLI, et al., } AND RECOMMENDATION
17 Respondent. } OF UNITED STATES
18 MAGISTRATE JUDGE

19 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, records on file,
20 and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the
21 Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report and
22 Recommendation to which objections have been made. The Court accepts the findings
23 and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, subject to the following typographical
24 corrections. First, on page 2, line 1 of the R&R, a space should be inserted between
25 "290" and "(a)." Second, the last sentence of the first full paragraph on page 2 of the
26 R&R should be corrected to read: "The District Judge further found that the public
27 interest against issuing a TRO was particularly compelling."

28 //

1 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that (1) respondent's Motion to Dismiss is
2 granted; and (2) Judgment be entered dismissing this action for lack of subject matter
3 jurisdiction.

4 DATED: APRIL 30, 2012

5
6 
7 ANDREW J. GUILFORD
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28